# Synthesis and crystal structure of a caesium $\alpha$-cyanobenzothiazole- $\alpha$ carbaldehyde oximate complex with a crown ether (L): new evidence for the stability of $\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{3}\right]^{2+}$ club sandwiches 
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The reaction of $\mathrm{CS}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, 18-crown- $6(1,4,7,10,13,16$-hexaoxacyclooctadecane) and $\alpha$-cyanobenzothiazole-$\alpha$-carbaldehyde oxime (H cbto) resulted in the formation of $\left[\mathrm{Cs}_{2}(18-\mathrm{crown}-6)_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{cbto})_{2}\right]_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}$ cbto $\cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. $X-R$ ay diffraction analysis revealed a genuine club sandwich centrosymmetric structure for the complex cation $\left[\mathrm{Cs}_{2}(18-c r o w n-6)_{3}\right]^{2+}$ with one of the crown ligands placed between the two caesium atoms, and is important evidence for the stability of such macrocyclic complexes. The metal atoms adopt twelve-fold co-ordination in a distorted hexagonal-antiprismatic geometry. The 'central' 18-crown-6 molecule displays longer co-ordination interactions Cs-0 [3.393(5)-3.636(5), average ca. 3.511(5) $\AA$ ] than the 'border' crown ligand [3.152(7)-3.431(8), average ca. $3.265(8) \AA$ ]. The caesium atom deviates from the mean plane of the six oxygen atoms by $2.167(1) \AA$ in the first case and $1.640(3) \AA$ in the second. H ydrogen bonding is considered to be important in the crystal packing.

Crown ethers are selective complexing agents for a wide variety of cations, including alkali-, alkaline-earth-metal, lead(II) and thallium(I) ions, and interactions in the $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{n+}}$-crown ligand systems have been studied in solution as well as in the solid state ${ }^{1-5}$ It was shown that the behaviour of practically every metal ion towards the crown ligands may be controlled by varying its counter anion. ${ }^{2}$ Thus, although the cavity of 18 -crown-6 ( $1,4,7,10,13,16$-hexaoxacyclooctadecane) seems to be too small to give centrosymmetric complexes [M (18-crown-6)] ${ }^{+}$ with most large cations ( $\mathrm{Rb}^{+}, \mathrm{Tl}^{+}$or $\mathrm{Cs}^{+}$), ${ }^{1}$ such complexes in the solid state may be stable in the case of centrosymmetric single charged counter anions. Hydrogen halides $\mathrm{HX}_{2}{ }^{-}$ ( $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{F}$ or Cl ), ${ }^{6}$ nitrates $\left(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)^{6}$ or more complex oximates ( $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{ONCZ}{ }^{1} Z^{2} ; \mathrm{Z}^{1}, Z^{2}=\mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{CONH} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ or $\left.\mathrm{Heteraryl}^{7}\right)^{7}$ may be suggested as suitable anions in this context. Recently we described the crystal structure of an unprecedented thallium( I ) hydrogen $\alpha$-cyanobenzothiazole- $\alpha$-carbaldehyde oximate complex with 18 -crown-6, in which the large thallium atom (ionic radius $1.50 \AA{ }^{6}$ ) resides exactly in the centre of the crown ether cavity. ${ }^{7}$
We were not successful in the preparation of such compounds for caesium (ionic radius $1.67 \AA^{6}$ ). U nder the conditions used 2:3 caesium-18-crown-6 complexes were isolated, which represent a rarely encountered type of macrocyclic compounds, the so-called triple decker club sandwiches. ${ }^{1,2,8}$ The factors affecting the structures of such crown ether complexes are not readily comprehensible ${ }^{1,2}$ and crystal structure studies of these species are of special interest in this context. To date only one example of a triple decker club sandwich cation has been reported ${ }^{8}$ and practically in all $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{n+}}$-crown ether systems, reported earlier to have 2:3 stoichiometry, the additional crown ether molecule is not bound to the metal centre but held loosely in the lattice. ${ }^{2}$ Herein we describe the synthesis and crystal structure of a new genuine $\left[\mathrm{Cs}_{2}(18 \text {-crown- } 6)_{3}\right]^{2+}$ club sandwich compound.

## Results and D iscussion

The structure of the compound $\left[\mathrm{Cs}_{2}(18-\text { crown-6) })_{3}\left[\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{cbto})_{2}\right]_{2}\right.$. 2 H cbto $\cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \quad$ ( H cbto $=\alpha$-cyanobenzothiazole- $\alpha$-carbaldehyde oxime) is depicted in Figs. 1-3. The lattice comprises complex cations $\left[\mathrm{Cs}_{2}(18 \text {-crown-6) }]^{2+}\right.$, accompanied in a $1: 2$ proportion by hydrogen oximate anions $\left[\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{cbto})_{2}\right]^{-}$and frag-


Fig. 1 Perspective view of the $\left[\mathrm{C}_{2}(18 \text {-crown-6) }]^{2+}\right.$ club sandwich cation represented by $30 \%$ probability ellipsoids for thermal motion and showing the atom numbering scheme. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity




Hebto ${ }^{-} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$


Fig. 2 Perspective view, with $40 \%$ probability ellipsoids, of the $\left[\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{cbto})_{2}\right]^{-}$and $\mathrm{Hcbto} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ moieties, showing the atom numbering scheme


Fig. 3 F ragment of the crystal structure. Projection on the yz plane
ments of composition $\mathrm{Hcbto} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The geometrical parameters of the three unique fragments (cbto) do not exhibit essential differences and agree satisfactorily with results obtained from a crystallographic investigation of the $[T I(18$-crown-6)]$\left[\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{cbto})_{2}\right]$ and $\mathrm{Cs}\left[\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{cbto})_{2}\right] \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ complexes. ${ }^{7}$

The centrosymmetric $\left[\mathrm{Cs}_{2}(18 \text {-crown- } 6)_{3}\right]^{2+}$ cation has a 'club sandwich' structure with one of the crown ligands placed between the two caesium atoms (Figs. 1 and 3). The latter adopt twelvefold co-ordination in a distorted hexagonalantiprismatic geometry. Thus, in accordance with the most convenient classification of the crown ether complexes, this is a case of complete encapsulation of the caesium atoms by 18 -crown6. ${ }^{1,2}$ Both the unique 18 -crown- 6 molecules are bound to the metal, and the Cs-0 separations are within the range 3.152(7)$3.636(5) \AA$ (Table 1), which only slightly exceeds the values for thestandard bonding distances ( $3.03-3.34 \AA^{2-4}$ ) for the caesiumcrown systems. It should be noted here that the 'central' 18-crown-6 molecule (B, Fig. 1) displays longer co-ordination interactions [3.393(5)-3.636(5), average ca. 3.511(5) $\AA$ ], than the 'border' (A ) one [3.152(7)-3.431(8), average ca. 3.265(8) A ]. The caesium atom deviates from the mean plane of six oxygen atoms by $2.167(1) \AA$ in the first case and 1.640(3) $\AA$ in the second. The latter value is typical of the large $\mathrm{Cs}^{+}$cation coordinated to an 18-crown-6 molecule. ${ }^{2-4}$

Evidently, the caesium-central crown interaction is slightly weaker, in accord with data reported for the unique [ $\mathrm{Cs}(\mu-18$ -crown-6)Cs] ${ }^{2+}$ systems (Table 2). ${ }^{8-12}$ It is important, however, ${ }^{1,2}$ that in accordance with a centrosymmetric structure of the $\left[\mathrm{Cs}_{2}(18 \text {-crown- } 6)_{3}\right]^{2+}$ moiety in the present compound, the cen-

Table 1 Selected bond lengths $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for $\left[\mathrm{Cs}_{2}(18 \text {-crown- }-6)_{3}\right]^{-}$ $\left[\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{cbto})_{2}\right]_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{cbto} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

| Cs-0 (4) | 3.636(5) | $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{N}(7)$ | 1.299(5) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cs-0 (4a)* | 3.416(5) | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 1.298(6) |
| Cs -0 (5) | 3.393(5) | N (4)-C(10) | 1.290(6) |
| Cs-0 (5a)* | 3.636(5) | N (7)-C(19) | 1.315(6) |
| Cs-0(6) | 3.546(5) | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 1.422(7) |
| Cs-0(6a)* | 3.442(5) | $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 1.434(9) |
| $\mathrm{Cs}-0(7)$ | 3.152(7) | C(19)-C(20) | 1.413(7) |
| $\mathrm{Cs}-\mathrm{O}(8)$ | 3.397(7) | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 1.450(6) |
| Cs -0 (9) | 3.182(7) | C(10)-C(12) | 1.449(7) |
| Cs-0 (10) | 3.220(7) | $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | 1.445(6) |
| Cs-0 (11) | 3.413(8) | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}$ (2) | 1.134(7) |
| Cs-0 (12) | 3.227(7) | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{N}$ (5) | 1.119(8) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 1.740(4) | $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{N}(8)$ | 1.143(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 1.741(5) | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{N}$ (3) | 1.286(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | 1.744(4) | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{N}$ (6) | 1.291(6) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{N}$ (1) | $1.305(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{N}(9)$ | 1.297(5) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{N}(4)$ | 1.353(5) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{Cs}-\mathrm{O}(5)$ | 46.5(1) | $\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(29)$ | 115.0(6) |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{Cs}-\mathrm{O}(6 \mathrm{a})^{*}$ | 45.9(1) | C(30)-0 (5)-C (31) | 117.9(7) |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{Cs}-\mathrm{O}(7)$ | 74.9(2) | $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{C}(33)$ | 117.7(7) |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{Cs}-\mathrm{O}(9)$ | 151.1(2) | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 88.6(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(5)-\mathrm{Cs}-\mathrm{O}(6)$ | 47.2(1) | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | 88.4(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(5)-\mathrm{Cs}-\mathrm{O}(8)$ | 152.1(2) | $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | 89.1(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(5)-\mathrm{Cs}-\mathrm{O}(9)$ | 157.7(2) | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 113.9(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{Cs}-\mathrm{O}(7)$ | 154.1(2) | $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 111.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(7)-\mathrm{Cs}-\mathrm{O}(8)$ | 51.6(2) | $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{N}(7)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 113.0(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(7)-\mathrm{Cs}-\mathrm{O}(12)$ | 51.9(3) | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}$ (2) | 178.2(7) |
| $\mathrm{O}(8)-\mathrm{Cs}-\mathrm{O}(9)$ | 49.6(2) | $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{N}(5)$ | 178.3(7) |
| $\mathrm{O}(10)-\mathrm{Cs}-\mathrm{O}(11)$ | 49.4(3) | $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{N}(8)$ | 177.4(5) |
| Cs-0(4)-Cs(a)* | 75.8(1) | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 117.1(4) |
| Cs-O(5)-Cs(a)* | 76.1(1) | N (4)-C(10)-C(12) | 120.1(5) |
| Cs-0(6)-Cs(a)* | 76.7(1) | $\mathrm{N}(7)-\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | 116.5(4) |

* Symmetry transformation used to generate equivalent atom: $-x$, $1-y, 2-z$.
tral crown molecule maintains equally effective Cs-O interactions on both axial sides simultaneously. Thus the cation $\left[\mathrm{Cs}_{2}(18 \text {-crown-6) }]^{2+}\right.$ can be regarded as an example of the almost perfect club sandwich structure. ${ }^{8}$

A lthough, the cation $\left[\mathrm{Cs}_{2}(18 \text {-crown-6) }]^{2+}\right.$ has also been observed in the crystal structure of $\left[\mathrm{Cs}_{9}(18 \text {-crown- } 6)_{14}\right]^{9+}\left[\mathrm{R} \mathrm{h}_{22}-\right.$ $\left.(\mathrm{CO})_{35} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{x}}\right]^{5-}\left[\mathrm{Rh}_{22}(\mathrm{CO})_{35} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{x}+1}\right]^{4-, 8}$ its formation, in accordance with Bajaj and Poonia, ${ }^{2}$ should be described as the result of the additional moiety-moiety interactions under forcing conditions. Thus, the Cs-O separations in this case have a much

Table 2 Geometry of the Cs( $\mu$-18-crown-6)Cs fragment in crystal structures

| Cation | A nion | Cs-0/A |  | Cs...Cs/ $/ \AA$ | $\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{a}} / \AA$ | R ef. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | R ange | Average |  |  |  |
| [ $\left.\mathrm{CS}_{2}(18-\mathrm{crown}-6)\right]^{\mathbf{2 +}}$ | $\left[\left(p-\mathrm{MeC} 6_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{NNN}=\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{e-p}\right)\right]^{-}$ | 3.207(7)-3.510(8) | 3.382(8) | 3.940(4) | 1.970(4) | 9 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{~L}\right]^{2+\mathrm{b}}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{6}{ }^{2-}$ | 3.11(1)-3.55(1) | 3.33(1) | 4.022(4) | $2.011(4)$ | 10 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Cs}_{2}(18-\mathrm{crown}-6)\right]^{2+c}$ | $\left[\mathrm{SO}_{4}\left(\mathrm{AlM} \mathrm{e}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]^{2-}$ | 3.13(1)-3.49(1) | 3.29(1) | 3.923(4) | $1.785(5)$ | 11 |
|  |  | 3.34(1)-3.59(1) | 3.49(1) |  | 2.135(5) |  |
| $\left[\{\mathrm{Cs}(18-\mathrm{crown}-6)\}_{\infty}\right]^{\infty+}$ | $\left[(\mathrm{TCNCl})_{4}\right)^{\infty}{ }^{\text {a- }}$ | 3.34(1)-3.68(1) | 3.51(1) | 4.275(4) | $2.138(4)$ | 12 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Cs}_{2}(18-\mathrm{crown}-6)_{3}\right]^{2+c}$ | $\left[\mathrm{Rh}_{22}(\mathrm{CO})_{35} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{x}}\right]^{5-}$, | 3.29(8)-4.25(8) | 3.75(8) | 4.755(4) | 2.41 (8) | 8 |
|  | $\left[\mathrm{R} \mathrm{h} 22(\mathrm{CO})_{35} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{x}+1}\right]^{4-}$ | $3.35(8)-3.96(8)$ | 3.70 (8) |  | $2.34(8)$ |  |
| $\left[\mathrm{Cs}_{2}(18-\mathrm{crown}-6)_{3}\right]^{2+}$ | $\left[\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{cbto})_{2}\right]^{-}$ | 3.393(5)-3.636(5) | 3.515(5) | 4.335(1) | 2.167(1) | This |

a $D$ eviation of cation from the mean oxygen atoms plane ${ }^{b} L=D$ ibenzo-18-crown- $6=6,7,9,10,17,18,20,21$-octahydrodibenzo $[b, k][1,4,7,10,13,16]-$
hexaoxacyclooctadecine. ${ }^{c}$ The central 18 -crown -6 molecule forms unequal axial contacts with caesium atoms.

Table 3 Geometry of the hydrogen bonding

| Donor <br> (D) | H ydrogen atom (H) | A cceptor (A) | Separation ( $\AA$ ) |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Angle } \\ & \mathrm{D}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{~A} /{ }^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | D-H | A $\cdots$ H | D...A |  |
| O(3) | H (1) | O(1) | 1.17(10) | 1.32(10) | 2.478(5) | 170(8) |
| O(2) | H (2) | O(13) | 1.06(9) | 1.52(9) | 2.520(5) | 155(7) |
| O(13) | H (3) | N (3b)* | 0.78(7) | 2.16(8) | 2.930(6) | 170(7) |
| O(13) | H (4) | N (9) | 0.71(6) | 2.18(6) | 2.877(6) | 165(7) |

* Symmetry transformation used to generate equivalent atom: $-1+x$, $1+y, z$.
wider range $\left[3.29(8)-4.32(8) \AA\right.$, Table 2] ${ }^{8}$ than those observed for the present compound and other caesium-18-crown-6 species. ${ }^{8-12}$ A nother comparable structural precedent is the unique crystal structure of a $[\mathrm{Cs}(18-c r o w n-6)]\left[\mathrm{TcNCl}_{4}\right]$ complex, ${ }^{12}$ containing polymeric cations $\left[\{\mathrm{Cs}(18 \text {-crown- } 6)\}_{\infty}\right]^{\infty+}$ of an 'infinite sandwich'. In this case the Cs-0 [average ca. 3.51(1) $\AA$ ] and Cs $\cdots$ Cs [4.275(4) $\AA$, Table 2] separations are actually equal to those observed in the present compound (Tables 1, 2), suggesting the close structural resemblance in the $\mathrm{Cs}(\mu-18$ -crown-6)Cs bridging.

The central 18-crown-6 molecule (B) is centrosymmetric and exists in the $D_{3 d}$ conformation, the most stable conformation for this ligand in complexes with metal ions. ${ }^{13}$ Both the $\mathrm{C}-0$ [1.357(8)-1.377(9), average ca. 1.36(1) $\AA$ ] and C-C [1.42(1)1.44(1), average ca. 1.43(1) $\AA$ ] distances are slightly shortened with respect to the standard separations in crown ethers ( $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ $1.43 \pm 0.02$, C-C $1.49 \pm 0.02 \AA^{14}$ ). This phenomenon is well known for macrocyclic compounds and has been ascribed to an artificial effect arising from curvilinear vibrations. ${ }^{12,15}$ The high thermal motion of the atoms of the border 18 -crown- 6 molecules (A) (Fig. 1) indicates possible disorder, which may be attributed to the large size of the $\left[\mathrm{Cs}_{2}(18 \text {-crown-6) })_{3}\right]^{2+}$ cation and the insensitivity of the packing to the conformation of the crown molecules. ${ }^{8,12,16}$ The high thermal motion precludes further discussion. We note, however, that the oxygen atoms 0 (7)O(12) are actually coplanar, the maximum deviation from their mean plane being ca. $0.046(6) \AA$.

Considering the club sandwich cation stability of the present compound, it is suggested that moiety-moiety interactions are important in the packing of the anionic part of the structure. A similar conclusion was reached by Baldas et al. ${ }^{12}$ for [Cs-(18-crown-6)] [TCN CI ${ }_{4}$ ].

There are four types of hydrogen bonds involving both the NOH groups and water of crystallization (Table 3). The strong, nearly symmetrical, hydrogen bond $O(1) \cdots O(3)$ of ca. 2.478 (5) A connects the appropriate fragments L (B and C, Fig. 2) in the hydrogen oximate anion $\left[\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{cbto})_{2}\right]^{-}$, which is a typical feature, cf. [ $\mathrm{TI}\left(18\right.$-crown-6)][H (cbto) ${ }_{2}$ ] documented earlier. ${ }^{7}$ The hydrogen bonding involving fragments of composition H cbto. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ links the neighbouring anions $[(x, y, z)$ and ( $-1+x, 1+y$, z)] to give chains along the $0 y$ direction (Figs. 2 and 3). The
distance $\mathrm{O}(2) \cdots \mathrm{O}(13)$ in the $\mathrm{Hcbto} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ fragment of ca. $2.520(5) \AA$ and both the distances $0(13) \cdots \mathrm{N}(9) 2.877(6)$ and $0(13) \cdots N(3 b)(-1+x, 1+y, z) 2.930(6) \AA$ together with the appropriate angles at the hydrogen atoms (Table 3) also indicate relatively strong hydrogen bonding. ${ }^{6,17}$
Between the hydrogen-bonded chains in the crystal, considerably shortened van der Waals contacts $\mathrm{S}(1) \cdots \mathrm{S}(2 \mathrm{c})$ ( $1+x, y, z$ ) $3.461(2) \AA$ are observed ( $r_{s}$ (van der Waals) 1.85 $\AA{ }^{6}$ ), which connect neighbouring chains $[(x, y, z)$ and ( $1+x, y$, z)] into layers running parallel to the xy plane (Fig. 3). A similar type of sulfur-sulfur interactions was described earlier for thiadiazole derivatives. ${ }^{18}$ The layers pack one on top of the other, yielding linear channels running down the $0 x$ direction. These are filled with the large $\left[\mathrm{Cs}_{2}(18 \text {-crown-6) })_{3}\right]^{2+}$ cations (Fig. 3). A pparently, the cations are held inside the channels by electrostatic forces, which is typical for structures involving large cations. ${ }^{19}$ Thus, we may conclude that the crystal packing of the present compound differs from that of $\left[\mathrm{Cs}_{9}(18 \text {-crown-6) })_{14}\right]^{9+}$ $\left[R h_{22}(C O)_{35} H_{x}\right]^{5-}\left[R h_{22}(C O)_{35} \mathrm{H}_{x+1}\right]^{4-}$ and therefore cannot be an obvious reason to discuss the $\left[\mathrm{Cs}_{2}(18 \text {-crown-6) })_{3}\right]^{2+}$ cation structure in terms of forced conditions. ${ }^{1,2} \mathrm{M}$ oreover, for similar systems the stability of the higher 'club sandwiches' with 18-crown-6 may be assumed. ${ }^{12}$

## Experimental

The compounds $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and 18 -crown- 6 were commercial products of reagent grade, used without further purification. The oxime was prepared as described previously. ${ }^{20}$

## Preparation of caesium-18-crown-6 derivative

To a hot solution of the oxime ( $0.202 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $95 \%$ ethanol ( $40 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was added $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.163 \mathrm{~g}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol})$. The mixture was stirred for 20 min and then 18 -crown-6 $(0.264 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0$ mmol ) and additional H cbto ( $0.202 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added. The clear yellow solution obtained on standing yielded yellow prismatic crystals suitable for X -ray diffraction. The yield was 0.51 g ( $65 \%$ with respect to the oxime used) (Found: C, 47.1; H, 4.4; $\mathrm{N}, 11.0$. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{90} \mathrm{H}_{104} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{s}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{26} \mathrm{~S}_{6}$ : C, 46.8; $\mathrm{H}, 4.5 ; \mathrm{N}$, 10.9\%).

## C rystallography

M easurements were made on an Enraf-N onius CAD-4 diffractometer with $\mathrm{M} 0-\mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation ( $\lambda=0.71073 \AA$ ) operating in the $\omega-2 \theta$ scan mode. A ccurate unit-cell parameters and the orientation matrix for data collection were obtained from leastsquares refinement, using the setting angles of 24 reflections in the range $13<\theta<15^{\circ}$. The essential experimental conditions and resulting crystal data are given in Table 4. The structure was solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix leastsquares techniques in the anisotropic approximation using

Table 4 Crystal data for $\left[\mathrm{Cs}_{2}(18 \text {-crown-6) })_{3}\left[\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{cbto})_{2}\right]_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$ cbto $\cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

| Formula | $\mathrm{C}_{90} \mathrm{H}_{104} \mathrm{CS}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{26} \mathrm{~S}_{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Crystal system | Triclinic |
| Space group | P 1 |
| a/Å | 10.598(2) |
| b/Å | 13.465(3) |
| c/Å | 20.235(4) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 75.31(3) |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 89.99(3) |
| $\gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | 71.42(3) |
| $U / \AA^{3}$ | 2637.4(9) |
| Z | 1 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{c}} / \mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ | 1.456 |
| $\mu(\mathrm{Mo} 0-\mathrm{K} \alpha) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | 8.89 |
| F(000) | 1184 |
| $\theta$ R ange for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 1.0-22.5 |
| Total number of reflections | 7349 |
| $N$ umber of unique reflections | 6896 ( $\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }} 0.023$ ) |
| $N$ umber of observed reflections [l>2\%(I)] | 5701 |
| D ata used | 6895 |
| Parameters refined | 656 |
| R 1 (obs.), R 1 (all data) | 0.044, 0.067 |
| wR 2(obs.), wR 2(all data) | 0.119, 0.132 |
| G oodness of fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.082 |
| D ata-to-parameter ratio | 10.5 |
| M aximum, minimum difference peaks/e $\AA^{-3}$ | 0.49, -0.44 |

SHELXS 86 and SHELXL 93. ${ }^{21,22}$ A bsorption corrections were not applied. All O-bonded hydrogen atoms were located from the Fourier-difference syntheses and refined isotropically. The positions of the CH hydrogen atoms were idealized and included in the calculations with their isotropic $U$ values invariant at $0.08 \AA^{2}$. Judging by the large values of $U$ [0.152(3)$\left.0.39(3) \AA^{2}\right]$ and poor bond distances and angles in the $\mathrm{OCH}_{2}$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ linkage of macrocyclic molecule A (Fig. 1) this molecule is disordered. All attempts to divide the oscillatory movement between two positions with partial occupancies of $50 \%$ were not successful.
A tomic coordinates, thermal parameters, and bond lengths and angles have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC). See instructions for Authors, J. C hem. Soc., D alton Trans., 1997, Issue 1. A ny request to the

CCDC for this material should quote the full literature citation and the reference number 186/397.
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